

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				1
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,076	03/10/2004	Jen Kuan Liao		4233
. 75	90 10/08/2004		EXAM	INER
Liao Jen Kuan			WILLIAMS	, MARK A
4F8, No. 123,	Sec. 3			
Taijunggang Rd			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Taichung, 40			3676	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 10/08/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ ', \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10
	10/796,076	LIAO, JEN KUAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Williams	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commur NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3 Since this application is in condition for a	This action is non-final.	rs, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Extended The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the second terms of the second term	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecto to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanccorrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apple e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	e
Attachmont/a)			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	48) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date primal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Application/Control Number: 10/796,076

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bladh, US Patent 4,686,738, in view of Gretz, US Patent 6,143,982. Bladh provides a water-preventing grommet 6 adapted to connect to a tube, including a body with abutting end and an inclined end 12, as claimed. A recess is provided in communication with a downward passage, as claimed. Bladh discloses the claimed invention except (1) a c-shaped flange, as claimed, and (2) a flat distal face.

Regarding (1), Gretz teaches a c-shaped flange part allowing for ease of insertion of the grommet end. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one skilled in the art to have included in the design of Bladh such a modification, similar to that taught by Gretz, for the purpose of providing means for ease of insertion of the grommet.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,076 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

Regarding (2), it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the device of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47. Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blahd in view of Gretz. Although the combination does not explicitly teach an o-ring, the examiner serves Official Notice that it is a common practice in the art of grommet and bushings to use an o-ring as a means for providing additional sealing structure. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to include such a modification in the device of the combination, for the purpose of providing additional sealing structure.
- 4. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bladh in view of Gretz in further view of Korman, US Patent 3,984,168. Although the combination does not explicitly teach multiple ribs as claimed, such structure is

Art Unit: 3676

very old and well known in the art. Korman teaches such structure for providing means for gripping the outer surface. It would have been obvious to have modified the device in this way, for the purpose of providing means for gripping the outer surface of the grommet.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,076 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams 9/30/04

Suranno Dino Burta